

COUNCIL MEETING – 25 FEBRUARY 2014

REPORT OF THE COMMUNITY COMMITTEE

3 February 2014

Present: Councillors Morrall (Chairman), Carr (Vice-Chairman), Ainge, Emerson, Harrington, Higgins, M Patel, Simmons, V Waters and Watts.

Also present: Miss J Thomas, Interim Head of Planning and Local Development, Mrs B Lawrence, Head of Resources, Mrs G Chapman, Principal Community Support Manager, Mr Q Allen, Sports Development Officer (NSport), Mr C Culling, Assistant Principal Housing Officer and Mr A Barron, Democratic Services Officer.

(Councillors Bell and G Lawman attended as observers).

The Chairman welcomed Councillor Carr as Vice-Chair of the Community Committee.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

RESOLVED to note that apologies for absence were received from Councillors B Patel and Raymond.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

RESOLVED to note that in accordance with the Localism Act 2011, the council's code of conduct and the council's constitution, the under-mentioned councillors declared an interest in the following items:

Councillor	Minute No	Subject	Reason
Higgins	4	The Castle Arts Centre Performance Report	Registerable – Friend of The Castle
Ainge	5	Leisure Centres and Community Sport – Performance Indicators	Registerable – Husband is a member of Wellingborough Rugby Club
M Patel	7	Private Sector Housing Policy	Registerable – Family members reside in four properties within Castle ward

3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 9 December 2013 be confirmed and signed.

4. THE CASTLE ARTS CENTRE PERFORMANCE REPORT

The annexed circulated report of the Head of Resources was received to provide members with an interim report on the performance of The Castle (Wellingborough) Ltd in the first three quarters of 2013/2014.

The Head of Resources informed members that the majority of the targets were expected to either be met or exceeded by the end of the final quarter.

Members enquired about the approach being taken by the management of The Castle (Wellingborough) Ltd to engage local communities. The Head of Resources stated that further information on this would feature in the end of year report at a future committee.

Members further enquired about the development of a system to analyse audiences by postcode, but were again satisfied that information would be provided at a future committee.

RESOLVED to:

- (i) note the position with regard to performance to date.
- (ii) identify matters which it wishes to be brought to the attention of The Castle (Wellingborough) Ltd.

5. LEISURE CENTRES AND COMMUNITY SPORT – PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

The annexed circulated report of the Head of Resources was received to inform members of the performance statistics for the Redwell and Waendel Leisure Centres for the first nine months of the 2013-14 financial year and report on progress against the NSport service agreement.

The Principal Community Support Manager gave apologies from Mr S Churchman, Contract Manager, DC Leisure, for not being able to attend the meeting. She then outlined to members the disappointing daytime performance figures of Redwell Leisure Centre, but informed members of the high attendance figures at Waendel Leisure Centre, especially in relation to swimming lessons and gym usage.

Mr Q Allen outlined to members several initiatives taking place within the borough including a Tesco skills program during the upcoming half-term and the expansion of the 'nifty fifties' group building on the success of the Redwell group.

Members enquired about other sports initiatives aside from football. Members were informed of the difficulties in being allocated funding, but were assured that efforts were being made with many sports, including rugby, where it is hoped that a local infrastructure will be in place for 2015 to benefit from the Rugby World Cup. This would reflect the approach taken in cricket surrounding

the 2005 Ashes victory, where initiatives were in place to promote local activities building on the success of the national team.

Members sought further information on sports development in the villages of the borough, and were satisfied that sports development encompasses all residents within the borough.

Members raised their ongoing concern over the performance of Redwell Leisure Centre and suggested an article in village magazines, with the aim of raising public awareness of the facilities available.

RESOLVED to:

- (i) note the report.
- (ii) continue to receive future reports on a regular basis.

6. WELLINGBOROUGH COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP UPDATE: OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2013

The report of the Head of Resources was received to inform members of the progress of the Wellingborough Community Safety Plan for the period 1 October – 31 December 2013.

The Principal Community Support Manager submitted Police Inspector Pauline Sturman's apologies for not being able to attend the meeting and stated that the report outlined the key partnership projects aimed at tackling local crime and disorder issues.

Members were informed that the report outlines progress against three key targets, agreed at the beginning of the financial year, but were reassured that other crime and disorder issues were being dealt with by partners, and the partnership is well linked into county group meetings.

Members were disappointed to not have a representative of the Police attend the meeting as specific issues could have been addressed, especially as members had concern over the recording of crime figures.

RESOLVED to note the report and support the actions.

7. PRIVATE SECTOR HOUSING POLICY

The annexed report of the Head of Planning and Local Development was received to seek approval to adopt the council's policies for Private Sector Housing due to changes in the private sector housing market and the availability of resources.

The Assistant Principal Housing Manager outlined changes to Grant Assistance schemes and informed members of the continued necessity to meet both public need and the strategic aims of the council.

The Assistant Principal Housing Manager outlined changes to landlord grants, which are to be changed to interest free loans, thereby ensuring that money returns to the budget and can be used again to make an increasing number of properties available.

Members enquired about the conversion of flats above shops in the town centre. The Interim Head of Planning and Local Development addressed the meeting at this stage and confirmed that, following a recent relaxation in legislation, planning permission was no longer required to convert flats above shops.

RESOLVED to adopt the Private Sector Housing Policy and grant authority to officers to implement the changes.

Chairman

The meeting concluded at 7.50pm.

COUNCIL MEETING – 25 FEBRUARY 2014

REPORT OF THE DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

4 February 2014

Present: Councillors Allebone (Chairman), Waters (Vice-Chairman), Bass, Blackwell, Elliott, Gough, Hawkes, Higgins, Warwick and Watts.

Also present: Miss J Thomas, Interim Head of Planning and Local Development, Mrs J Bell, Project Co-ordinator, Mrs S Bateman, Senior Planning Officer, Mrs N Mackenzie, Economic Regeneration Officer, Mrs V Phillipson, Principal Planning Policy and Regeneration Manager, and Mrs C A Mundy, Democratic Services Officer.

(Councillors Bell, G Lawman and Simmons attended the meeting as observers. Councillor Carr attended as a speaker.)

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

RESOLVED to note that apologies for absence were received from Councillor Graves and Councillor L Lawman. The best wishes of the committee were sent to Councillor Graves for a speedy recovery from his eye operation.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 10 December 2013 be confirmed and signed.

3. TUESDAY BRIC-A-BRAC MARKET

The annexed circulated report of the Head of Planning and Local Development was received on the trial of the management of the Tuesday bric-a-brac market. It was agreed by the market working group that Wellingborough Norse would take over the management of the market on a temporary basis. This arrangement had proved to be successful and had enabled the council to provide full information for members to decide whether the bric-a-brac market should continue. The report detailed the four options available for the future management of the Tuesday market.

Members considered that the Tuesday market was a thriving worthwhile enterprise and that anything to encourage its continuance would be welcome.

RESOLVED that:

- (i) the Tuesday market be retained as a bric-a-brac market run as a specialised market with amended market regulations to take account of the different trading arrangements, as recommended by the market working group;
- (ii) Resources Committee be asked to approve the amended fee schedule to include the present Tuesday market stall fee of £13.50;

- (iii) Resources Committee be asked to approve option three; to continue the current partnership arrangement with Wellingborough Norse and to allow the market working group to investigate different management arrangements for all the trading days of Wellingborough Market.

4. REGULARISATION OF MARKET PROVISION

The annexed circulated report of the Head of Planning and Local Development was received on the progress of the registration of the Market Charter and to seek approval to regularise the market provision under Part III of the Food Act 1984.

In 2013 the Land Registry announced that all charters, letters patent and prescription giving rise to market franchise rights needed to be registered by 12 October 2013. As the devolution of title for the Wednesday market was not sufficient for the Land Registry's purposes, District Law had recommended that the Wednesday market needed to be registered under Part III of the Food Act 1984. This would ensure that the validity of any policy the council currently operated for licensing the market, or the exclusivity of the market franchise, was not lost.

RESOLVED that, without prejudice to the council's existing market rights, howsoever acquired, the Wednesday market be established under Part III of the Food Act 1984.

5. WELLINGBOROUGH BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT (BID) – REVIEW AND RE-BALLOT

The annexed circulated report of the Head of Planning and Local Development was received to update members on the achievements of the BID from 2011-2014 and to seek endorsement, in principle, for the continuation of a BID in Wellingborough town centre. The original three year period of operation was coming to an end and it was proposed that Wellingborough BID should continue for a further five year period covering 1 June 2014 to 31 May 2019.

Appended to the report were details of the achievements of the BID, which included free recycling of paper and glass, the provision of hanging baskets, town centre events and an interactive website, with town centre directory. The report also detailed the consultation that had taken place with businesses during November and December to gauge their opinions on current areas of work and potential improvements.

As the council had a substantial town centre property holding, a request was made for the decision on how to vote in the private ballot to be delegated to the Head of Resources, in collaboration with the chairman and vice-chairman of the Development Committee.

Members asked how the BID Ballot would be publicised to all businesses to ensure that they all had the opportunity to vote. The Economic Regeneration Officer clarified that the BID had been engaging with as many businesses as

possible, in as many ways as possible. Feedback from a recent survey it carried out had been largely positive, with many seeing a good return on the BID levy through the recycling service alone. The events throughout the year had increased footfall and therefore spend. All businesses would have the opportunity to vote and would be encouraged to do so.

RESOLVED that the continuation of the BID be endorsed, in principle, and that the Head of Resources be requested to cast the council's vote for each operational and portfolio property accordingly, in collaboration with the chairman and vice-chairman of the Development Committee.

6. NORTH NORTHAMPTONSHIRE INTERIM HOUSING STATEMENT

The annexed circulated report of the Head of Planning and Local Development was received on the North Northamptonshire Interim Housing Statement and the current deliverable housing supply.

Mr A Skipper had made a request to address the committee and the chairman allowed him to speak for three minutes. Mr Skipper raised some issues on the report and referred to appendix five and particularly site 61, as shown on the map which related to Irchester. He also queried the assessment criteria for the sites submitted. Whilst not being against development, he considered that care had to be taken as to where development was placed. He was a member of the Neighbourhood Plan group, but was not speaking on behalf of the group in this instance. Work on the plan was progressing and suggestions for appropriate sites for development would be put forward in due course.

Councillor Carr also addressed the meeting. He thanked officers for the committee report and explained that he was the chairman of the Irchester Neighbourhood Plan group. He clarified that the group had been looking at a number of sites for housing. A consultant had been employed to develop the plan and discussions were taking place with landowners with a view to finding suitable locations for development. He asked for clarity, in that only the North Earls Barton site (detailed in the supply of deliverable sites in the report) was suitable to be brought forward for consideration at this time.

The Senior Planning Officer confirmed that this was the case. The sites listed had, during the consultation stage, been promoted for inclusion within the supply of deliverable sites and had been shown along with the potential total number of dwellings that could be delivered. The sites had been assessed for deliverability and it had been concluded that, at this stage the only site which could be considered with any certainty to be deliverable was the site north of Earls Barton. This site was also identified in the emerging neighbourhood plan for Earls Barton. Since the report had been written, a planning application for this site had been approved by the council.

The report recommended the adoption of an Interim Housing Statement which set out the up-to-date 'objectively assessed' housing needs for the area, distributed in accordance with the adopted Core Spatial Strategy. The supply of deliverable housing land had been identified and amounted to 4.5 years when measured against the newly identified requirements. This falls short of

the five year supply which the government required all councils to identify. The consequence of the lack of a five year supply is that the policies for the supply of housing in the development plan are not considered up-to-date and a 'presumption in favour of sustainable development' applies when determining planning applications.

The Senior Planning Officer clarified that the current target of 4.54 years was getting closer to the target of a five year supply; she estimated that there needed to be 311 additional dwellings built to meet the five year supply target. It had been noted that there was more building going on around the borough and the current figures would be updated after April 2014.

Members expressed concern that 90% of the sites in the five year supply were in village locations, the majority of which did not want growth or expansion. The Senior Planning Officer clarified that the vast majority of the supply would come from the town with the sites of Stanton Cross, Wellingborough North, East of Eastfield Road, Park Farm Way and Shelley Road making the biggest contribution to the five year supply. It was believed that the first homes would be ready at Stanton Cross during 2015.

A number of members expressed concern over the length of time and monetary cost involved with the preparation of a Neighbourhood Plan, particularly for the small villages where resources were constrained with the alternative option being to produce a Village Design Statement. The Senior Planning Officer clarified that this issue was being raised across the country, but that at the present time no changes had been made to the process. She also clarified that Village Design Statements would be able to be used as supplementary planning documents and would be classed as a material consideration.

It was proposed by Councillor Waters and seconded by Councillor Gough that the recommendation in the report be put to the meeting. This recommendation was voted on and declared carried.

R1 **RECOMMENDED** that the Interim Housing Statement be adopted as a material planning consideration to be taken into account in the calculation of housing land requirements pending the submission of the revised North Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy.

7. DRAFT COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY CHARGING SCHEDULE

The annexed circulated report of the Head of Planning and Local Development was received to provide an update on the council's proposed draft Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) charging schedule and to seek approval to commence consultation in spring 2014. Officers had been working with the North Northamptonshire Joint Planning Unit (NNJPU) and other authorities to develop a draft charging schedule for the CIL.

Appended to the report were the draft charging schedule consultation document (appendix 1), Wellingborough Infrastructure Plan (appendix 3), draft charging schedule statement of representation procedure (appendix 4) draft

charging schedule consultation background paper (appendix 5), Statement of statutory compliance (appendix 6) and schedule of responses to the preliminary draft charging schedule (appendix 7). The Wellingborough Viability Reports September 2013 (appendix 2) had been made available in the Members room and on the website.

Members asked for clarity on the cost of the Isham bypass and the Isham to Wellingborough Improvements, which they believed was estimated to be in the region of £72 million. The Principal Policy and Regeneration Manager confirmed that this was the correct estimated figure. A suggestion was made that the town centre northern inceptor road may no longer be required and therefore needed to be revised, and possibly withdrawn following developments with the Tresham site. This would then leave an option for other sites/projects to be considered.

The Principal Policy and Regeneration Manager confirmed that this was within the adopted town centre area action plan as a current project and would still be required at this time. If any other projects needed to be brought forward she could issue a request form for completion and consideration as part of the consultation.

RESOLVED that:

- (i) the draft charging schedule, and associated documents appended to the report, be approved for a six week consultation period;
- (ii) approval of the final consultation documents be delegated to the Head of Planning and Local Development, in consultation with the chairman and vice-chairman of the Development Committee.

8. SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT FOR SECTION 106 OBLIGATIONS

The annexed circulated report of the Head of Planning and Local Development was received on the draft Section 106 Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) and to seek approval to publish the document for a formal six week consultation period.

Mr Skipper had made a request to address the meeting and the chairman allowed him to speak for three minutes. He thanked officers and councillors for the decision made on the creation of a footpath between Irchester and Wollaston, which had long been needed. He believed the contribution would kick-start this project.

The SPD set out the council's approach to securing contributions and the relationship between CIL and S106 obligations. The SPD was a supporting document to the CIL process and should be read alongside the council's CIL draft charging schedule (DCS). Together the documents set out clear guidance to developers, landowners and stakeholders on which types of infrastructure or project would warrant either CIL or S106 funding. The draft had been developed in close consultation with stakeholders, including Northamptonshire County Council (NCC) and other council services.

Reference was made to the need for a roundabout at the bottom of Hardwick Road, which one member believed could be funded from the S106 monies from the Sunlight laundry site.

The Principal Policy and Regeneration Manager clarified that Northamptonshire County Council was to undertake a review of traffic numbers and flow and that, if any improvements were needed, these could be included in the CIL infrastructure plan.

RESOLVED that:

- (i) the draft S106 Supplementary Planning document be approved for a six week consultation period;
- (ii) the approval of the final consultation documents be delegated to the Head of Planning and Local Development in consultation with the chairman and vice-chairman of the Development Committee.

9. PROPOSED MAIN MODIFICATIONS TO THE WEST NORTHAMPTONSHIRE JOINT CORE STRATEGY

The annexed circulated report of the Head of Planning and Local Development was received to advise members of the consultation taking place on the proposed main modifications to the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy (JCS) and to recommend an appropriate response.

The JCS set out the long-term spatial vision and objectives for West Northamptonshire. It identified strategic locations for new housing and employment and associated infrastructure requirements, as well as areas where development would be restricted. Responses to the proposed main modification to the West Northamptonshire JCS had been invited during a six week consultation period to end on 25 February 2014.

Suggested objections to modifications to Policy N3 were detailed in appendix 4 to the report.

Members were particularly concerned over the Northampton North sustainable urban extension (Sue) (Policy N3) which increased the size of development to 3,500 dwellings with 10 hectares of employment land for local employment opportunities. Whilst not being within the borough boundary, it was considered that it would significantly affect the villages of Overstone and Sywell. It was believed that there would be a need for considerable improvements to be made to the infrastructure around Round Spinney and the A43 would need to become a dual carriageway to cope with the additional traffic.

The report detailed the comments made in the council's response regarding the concerns already made at the pre-submission stage, in respect of coalescence and infrastructure provision, whilst reiterating concerns about the landscape and traffic impacts on surrounding villages.

Members noted that officers had maintained that there was no need to speak at the examination hearings. The Senior Planning Officer clarified that there was no extra consideration given to speakers over written comments.

RESOLVED to note the proposed main modifications to the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy and make the further comments as detailed in the report.

Chairman

The meeting concluded at 8.40pm.

COUNCIL MEETING – 25 FEBRUARY 2014
REPORT OF THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

10 February 2014

Present: Councillor Pursglove (Chairman), Councillors Emerson, Gough, G Lawman, M Patel and Simmons.

Also present: Mrs B Lawrence (Head of Resources) and Mrs P Whitworth (Democratic Services Officer).

(Councillors Elliott and Timms did not attend the meeting.)

Prior to the commencement of the meeting, the chairman welcomed Councillor Raymond to the position of vice chairman of the committee, and extended thanks to the previous vice chairman, Councillor Carr, for all his hard work on behalf of the committee.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

RESOLVED to note that apologies for absence were received from Councillors Hawkes, Maguire, Raymond (Vice Chairman) and V Waters.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor	Minute number	Subject	Reason
G Lawman.	5.	Presentation – Shire Community Services.	Other interest – Northamptonshire County Council representative on the board of Shire Community Services.

3. RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 16 December 2013 be confirmed and signed.

4. PRESENTATION – VICTIM SUPPORT

The chairman welcomed Christine Thompson, senior service delivery manager at Victim Support, and invited her to begin her presentation.

Ms Thompson explained that Victim Support had been established for 40 years, and that it offered help across all crime categories, and also offered support to those bereaved by homicide. She also explained that the service had 100% referral from the police in agreed categories, but that reporting to the police wasn't a requirement of support.

Ms Thompson said that Victim Support offered a tailored support package of both emotional and practical support, information and advocacy, and that they were accessible outside of normal office hours. She also mentioned that, although funds were limited, the organisation was able to commission

services such as supporting people that may need extra locks or personal alarms, or help with transport or childcare costs.

Ms Thompson then briefly gave details of the organisation's relationship with the borough, which included close links with other organisations such as Wellingborough Mind and the Wellingborough African Caribbean Association, as well as attending events and meetings to disseminate information, and helping to assist and train police student officers and university students.

Discussing the number of volunteers, Ms Thompson explained that the current number stood at 23, with three from the Wellingborough area, and that recruitment was ongoing to achieve the target of 25-30.

The presentation was concluded by showing a breakdown of demographic data and the different crime categories the organisation offered support for, as well as sharing some information about funding and costings. It was explained that a core referral cost in the region of £25, with around £110 needed if longer-term support was required. Volunteer training was estimated at around £450, with an extra £320 needed for specialist training in areas such as domestic abuse and sexual violence. Funding was received from central and local government, as well as local fundraising, but was decreasing. Over the past six months the organisation had had 850 referrals, with a 50% increase in demand for follow-up or longer-term support.

The chairman thanked Ms Thompson for her presentation and invited members to ask questions.

Members asked about data protection issues as the organisation had 100% referral from the police, and asked whether Victim Support was confident the police told victims that their details would be passed on.

Ms Thompson replied that when a file was created on the police computer, it was automatically sent to Victim Support, apart from in cases of rape and serious sexual offences. She explained that this meant the first contact was on behalf of the police and only if the victim engaged with Victim Support would their information be retained. If they didn't give consent, their information was deleted from the Victim Support systems.

Members also asked about the age range of victims supported by the organisation and whether they had specially trained people to support children. Ms Thompson said that they usually didn't directly support young people under the age of 12, and if children needed support they would involve other organisations such as Service Six, who had more experience. She also mentioned that they did a lot of work with parents and carers who would in turn offer support to their children.

Finally, in response to a question about the Ministry of Justice funding being devolved to Police and Crime Commissioners, and the need for Victim Support to enter a competitive tender process, Ms Thompson stated that no other organisation duplicated the service Victim Support provided. She also said that the organisation kept up with other organisations, such as Women's

Aid, to make sure they complemented each other rather than duplicated support.

Members asked that it be reflected in the minutes that the issue of data protection regarding victims' details being passed onto Victim Support for initial contact be raised with the Wellingborough Community Safety Partnership; and also asked that it be reflected in the minutes that councillors and council officers should keep an eye on changes to the Prevention Strategy and how that could affect funding and the service provision, as funding from the council was only for specific outcomes.

RESOLVED that the presentation be noted.

5. PRESENTATION – SHIRE COMMUNITY SERVICES

The chairman welcomed Rosemarie O'Reilly, Senior Transport Co-ordinator and Annette Breeden, Director, from Shire Community Services, and invited them to begin their presentation.

Ms O'Reilly talked about the Wellibus, and explained that at present the organisation had four buses, all of which were fully accessible. She explained the two types of service run by the Wellibus – the maxi service, which had a membership fee of £18.50 a year and offered a door-to-door service; and a Section 22 service, which ran like a public bus service. She went on to say that the maxi service also included a care package, for example if there was no answer at the house where the driver was expecting to pick up a client, then family and friends would be contacted until the client had been located or access to the house granted. Maxi service customers also benefited from their shopping being carried to their door and the driver seeing them safely inside the house, and also got priority booking for excursions. Ms O'Reilly explained that the Wellibus provided much more than a transport service, as it offered social and health benefits too.

Ms O'Reilly said that over the past year the number of maxi service users had dropped slightly, although the Section 22 numbers had increased. She explained that this showed that the Wellibus was not just being used by the elderly and infirm who required the extra care, but that more people were using it as a public bus service, as other commercial bus routes in the borough had been cut. She also said that the number of people booking on the trips and excursions offered by the organisation had increased by around 5,000 over the past year, and sometimes people had to be refused as capacity had been reached.

Ms O'Reilly concluded by talking briefly about plans for the future. She said that the organisation had previously trialled a Saturday service during the winter, which hadn't been very successful, but they were going to trial it again in the summer to see if more people used it. She also explained that they were looking into the possibility of a 'home to work' service, as there were a number of people who were struggling to gain employment due to difficulty in reaching outlying industrial estates.

The chairman thanked Ms O'Reilly and Ms Breedon for their presentation and invited members to ask questions.

Members asked why the organisation only had one school contract now, rather than the previous three. Ms O'Reilly replied that it was the organisation's choice to opt for only one contract this year, as the bus was needed for other uses.

Members also asked about the loan of mobility equipment, and Ms O'Reilly explained that equipment such as wheelchairs and crutches tended to be loaned more long-term, for example if someone had broken their leg they could borrow the equipment for a few weeks, but that there wasn't much need for long-term mobility scooter hire. She also said that the organisation had issues with replacing equipment, as it could be very expensive with a new scooter costing in the region of £1,500, and that some of the equipment was now getting old and worn.

In response to a question about the recent theft of catalytic converters from the buses and the level of security, Ms O'Reilly replied that they had had no further problems, but were still concerned that their parking was not very secure.

Members asked that it be reflected in the minutes that it should be requested that Shire Community Services be kept in mind when planning obligations and Section 106 agreements were drawn up as they were a flexible way of providing transport; and also that it be reflected in the minutes that officers continue discussions with Shire Community Services to explore whether assistance could be given in the effort to find a more secure place to park the buses.

RESOLVED that the presentation be noted.

Chairman

The meeting closed at 8.05pm.